

Comment On A Proposed Rule

a public comment submitted to a federal rulemaking docket, checked against what the submitter's own file supports | Final specimen

<https://www.editfast.com/editing-services/letters-to-government>

A comment that asks for nothing in particular, sourced to a figure the file does not hold, rewritten to say what it wants and why.

FINAL TEXT

SPECIMEN, PREPARED BY EDITFAST FOR ILLUSTRATION. NOT A REAL SUBMISSION.

Prepared for Cobbett Fabricating (fictitious), comment on a proposed rule

EXTRACT FROM THE SUBMITTER'S FILE (reproduced unchanged in both panels)

SF-1 Docket identifier given in the notice of proposed rulemaking: AGY-2026-0114. The comment period closes on a date stated in the notice.

SF-2 The company operates two plants and employs 41 people.

SF-3 Internal timing study, dated March 2026: the proposed inspection step adds 19 minutes per unit at the Fairview plant.

SF-4 The company has no figure for the cost across the industry and has commissioned none.

SF-5 The change the company wants: the inspection interval in proposed section 12(c) set at every fifth unit rather than every unit.

SF-6 The company has not decided whether to ask the agency to withdraw the rule.

COMMENT

Docket AGY-2026-0114. Comment of Cobbett Fabricating, a manufacturer operating two plants and employing 41 people.

This comment addresses the inspection interval in proposed section 12(c). We ask the agency to set that interval at every fifth unit rather than every unit.

Our timing study of March 2026 records that the proposed step adds 19 minutes per unit at our Fairview plant. We have not measured the effect at our second plant, and we hold no figure for the cost across the industry.

[Query to the submitter: the draft said the burden would run to millions industry-wide. SF-4 records no such figure and none commissioned, so the sentence has been left out rather than softened. SF-6 records no decision on whether to ask for withdrawal, and nothing asking for it has been drafted.]